



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,434	11/28/2001	Hiroyuki Yamamoto	9683/95	3419
27879	7590	10/12/2007	EXAMINER	
INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE 27879			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 1600				
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2033			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

[patentofficeactions@brinkshofer.com](mailto:patentofficeactions@brinkshofer.com)  
[s vessely@usebrinks.com](mailto:s vessely@usebrinks.com)  
[dhasler@usebrinks.com](mailto:dhasler@usebrinks.com)

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/980,434	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Sharad Rampuria	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sharad Rampuria. (3) Sanders Hillis (Registration No. 45712).  
 (2) George Eng. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 02 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1,5,23,27,46 and 49.

Identification of prior art discussed: Havinis (US 6295434).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner elucidate the situation of the art rejection and applicant's representative explained the point of view of the prior art and including all of the independent claims were discussed in the interview. No agreement has been made and a further contemplation is desirable upon a written Applicant's response is filed

/Sharad Rampuria/  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2617.